

Galignani's Messenger.

MORNING EDITION.



Head Office: PARIS, No. 224, RUE DE RIVOLI.

Branch Offices: LONDON, 168, STRAND, NICE, 15, QUAI MASSENA.

No. 20,906.—FOUNDED 1814

Great Britain

LONDON, JUNE 29—30, 1882.

THE MEETING AT WILLIS'S ROOMS.

The meeting at Willis's Rooms has taken place, and the Conservative leaders have given their opinion as to the duty of England in the face of the Egyptian crisis. We have already expressed our view as to the propriety of such a meeting at this moment, and nothing in the record of its proceedings has induced us to change that view. There is nothing, indeed, in the resolutions themselves with which my fault can be found. Every one is agreed that the pledges given by her Majesty's Government ought to be kept, but the interests of the British Empire ought to be regarded, and that the lives and property of British subjects in Egypt ought to be protected. No champion of the Opposition is more convinced of these things than her Majesty's Government themselves; and there can be little doubt that if Lord Salisbury or Sir Stafford Northcote had thought fit to make these propositions the subject of debate in Parliament, it is difficult to see how the Ministry could have declined to accept them, but it seemed good to the Chiefs of the opposition to transfer the theatre of their attack—for an attack it really was—from the Council Chamber of the nation to a public dining-room. It is impossible to feel a very serious interest in a movement so conducted, or to agree in any manner with Lord Salisbury when he said that the only resource left to him was to "bring the opinion of the people to bear upon the counsels of the Ministry" by means of a ticket meeting at Willis's Rooms. Nor is the matter of Lord Salisbury's speech of a nature to do away with the sense of unreality with which the whole affair is invested. To those who have read the Blue Books and have watched the most recent stages of English policy, and especially the military preparations which have been and are being conducted without bustle or noise, but rapidly and on a great scale, there is something almost comic in this speech of denunciation and foreboding. "The policy which the Government are pursuing," says the ex-Foreign Secretary, "is dark, mysterious, and unintelligible." It has been more hesitating than was desirable mainly on account of the necessity of acting with the ally with whom Lord Salisbury himself provided us; but if there are any epithets that exactly fail to describe its actual or recent phases, they are those which he applies to them. There is nothing dark or mysterious in his policy of accepting the French invitation to a Conference, or in meanwhile getting ready troops for the protection of our interests, and for securing that the decisions of the Conference shall be no *brutum fulmen*. There is nothing uneligible in first trying by every means to secure a solution of the question which would not set Europe in a blaze. The government is as fully alive as Lord Salisbury and Mr. Bouverie themselves to the necessity of bringing the Egyptian crisis to an end that shall not jeopardize the vast interests which England has in the Isthmus and the Nile Valley. Yet the titular Opposition and the candid friend unite to choose for reading the Government a lecture the very moment when it is taking the lead in promoting a settlement which should be enforced upon the Egyptian insurgents with overwhelming authority.—*Times*.

The Standard says:—"We entirely agree with Lord Randolph Churchill that it would have been infinitely more effective had the recognised Conservative Leaders been its ostensible as well as the real inaugurators of Thursday's meeting, and had other features been wanting to it which we need not now stop to recapitulate. Excluding these preliminary objections, the meeting, as far as it went, was a success; that is to say, the rooms were crowded, the Resolutions skilfully framed, the speaking was good, and the applause genuine. It may be said, perhaps, that the Resolutions were mere truisms, and that something much stronger and with greater point would have been more to the present purpose. But to a friendly eye it will be easily apparent that the very sting of the Resolutions lay in their simplicity and obviousness. The mere fact that it should have been found necessary to call a public meeting in order to remonstrate with the Government of so fundamental and primary a duty as is recorded in the second Resolution, will be generally accepted as the most cutting sarcasm that could have been levelled at it. The 'importance of making effectual provision for the security of the lives and property of British subjects in Egypt' would not, under ordinary circumstances, have required even to be named. To what a pass we must have come, therefore, when the Government of the day seems to show itself so utterly neglectful of one of its rudimentary functions as to justify the discussion of it by a public meeting, lest it should be totally forgotten! Nor is the first Resolution much behind the second in the unavowed satire of its terms, 'that it is the duty of the Government not to consent to any settlement of Egyptian affairs which is inconsistent with the traditional policy of England, or with the interests of the British Empire.' What English Government ought to be suspected of any intention to break its word, or to consent to any policy inconsistent with the interests of the British Empire? The most violent invective, the most vivid colouring, could not have brought out into such strong relief the misconduct of the present Government as these few simple sentences, recording in the nakedest manner the duty which, as the meeting at Willis's Rooms felt, down to the present moment they have omitted to perform.

The Daily News observes:—"All the orators who took part in the meeting merely indulged in the vaguest and most general denunciations of her Majesty's Ministers and of their policy. Mr. Gladstone and his colleagues, the meeting were told again and again, had reduced England to the deepest depths of humiliation, and blotted out England's sun, and blunted a great many other terrible metaphors which things happily seemed to be capable of exposition only in metaphor. But nothing was said which could tend to help her Majesty's Government out of a difficulty, if they were in any difficulty requiring help from their opponents and their

unfriendly critics. Nobody was kind enough to say what there was which, in his opinion, the Government ought definitely to have done. When the whole question comes to be raised in Parliament we may be sure that Lord Salisbury and Sir Stafford Northcote will not think they can get off by such poor displays of inflated and meaningless rhetoric. They will have to tell their listeners, the one in the Lords and the other in the Commons, what it exactly is of which they complain, and what is the precise course which, in view of the difficulties, they would have recommended. The inflation of the speeches was ridiculous. The pro-sacra terms of the resolutions were ridiculous. But when extravagant and denunciatory rhetoric led to nothing stronger than resolutions of vapid formality, the ridiculous character of the whole proceeding became magnified out of measure. Mr. Chaplin announced that another great meeting is to be held on Saturday week at St. James's Hall. Jura is to answer back to the joyous Alps. St. James's Hall is to send back the echoes to Willis's Rooms. But unless St. James's Hall can find something better to utter than the mere re-echo of what was said at Willis's Rooms, the multiplication of meetings will be only like the reverberation of sounds awakened in a mountain pass by the discharge of a worthless old gun, or the blast of a wheezy bugle.

THE CRISIS IN EGYPT.

The Alexandria correspondent of the Standard telegraphed on Thursday night:—

"Arabi Pacha arrived here last night. I had an interview with him this morning. He was very courteous, and replied to most of my questions affably and with frankness. I asked him point blank, 'What course will you take if the Sultan, at the behest of the Conference, orders you to proceed to Constantinople?' He replied, 'That question is a hard one. It comprises the corner-stone of the situation. Yet my answer will be that, as I am the faithful servant of the Sultan, I am ready to obey him in everything that is possible. I would accordingly go to Constantinople—when I knew the reason why.' Arabi then went on to talk about the panic. He was very satisfied on the European scare. He ridiculed the terrified people who have been running for their lives. The panic was simply in his opinion, due to English and Arabic, and is smit with fear a community of members of which had never the pluck of mice. He said they had allowed themselves to be misled by the local paper—the *Egyptian Gazette*—at the outset manufactured the panic to serve its own interests. He remarked, 'I shall probably have to suppress the *Gazette*. As for the explosive bombs which it is reported he has laid down in the Canal, he denied that there were any such in existence. He said, 'You have spies everywhere. Let them examine, and they will find nothing.' Arabi returned to Cairo after spending two days here. He is determined to proceed with the Commission for investigating the causes of the outbreak of the 11th inst., notwithstanding the withdrawal of the Consular delegates. His resolve to punish the guilty is as firm as ever. Conversing to-day with an Egyptian gentleman of high standing, I found he had much to say that was worth hearing about the situation. His opinion, and it is that of most of the influential class, he repeated, is that matters have gone too far now to be settled by the mere expatriation of Arabi Pacha. Some of his strongest and truest lieutenants were exiled with him, we would be thought, as far as ever from a solution of the problem. 'There will,' he remarked, 'never be any hope of peace for Egypt till the Army is disbanded.' It is not supported by Egyptian public opinion. The majority of the Notables and the better classes dislike the Army, and dread the Pratorial policy of Arabi. His real backing comes from the lowest class, and the thoughtless fanatics who try to goad them into waging a Holy War." In reply to a question from Mr. J. Lowther, read telegrams announcing that Mr. Blake, agent to the Marquis of Clanricarde, and Thade Keene, who was accompanying him in a car, had this day been shot dead near Louhghra. Mrs. Blake, on the car, escaped unharmed. The Home Secretary further stated, in answer to Mr. Plunkett, that the rifles found after the murder of Mr. Bourke and Corporal Wallace bore the same marks as those which were upon the arms seized in Clerkenwell.

IMPERIAL PARLIAMENT.

HOUSE OF LORDS.—THURSDAY.

The Long CHANCELLOR took his seat on the woolsack at a quarter past 4 o'clock.

The Entail (Scotland) Bill was committed *pro forma*, with a view to the introduction of certain amendments moved by Lord Rosebery, who has charge of the measure.

Lord Granville moved that all questions of which notice had been given by any peer holding, or who had held, any of the offices of Lord Chancellor, Lord President, Lord Privy Seal, First Lord of the Treasury, Secretary of State, or First Lord of the Admiralty, should take precedence of all other orders or notices. He thought it very desirable that notice of all questions should appear on the paper, but noble lords on the front benches were now deterred from giving notice of questions, because their notices, if for the day following that on which they were put down, would in all probability come after all the other business.

Lord GRANVILLE, on the ground that it would not be desirable to give precedence to any class of peers, advised the noble lord not to press his motion.

The motion was withdrawn.

Lord de L'Isle and DUDLEY asked the Secretary for Foreign Affairs whether it was true that Arabi Pacha had been decorated with the Grand Cordon of the Medjidie and had received a letter from the Sultan approving his conduct in the present Egyptian crisis.

Lord Granville replied that the Government had information of the bestowal of the decoration, but none of the letter of approval.

A number of Bills having been advanced at stage, their Lordships adjourned at 25 minutes past 5 o'clock.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.—THURSDAY.

The Speaker took the chair at 10 minutes to 4.

EGYPT.

Sir C. DILKE, in answer to Mr. Gibson, stated that the Government had taken steps to remove the misconception under which the French Government appeared to be labouring. The Joint Note, in a despatch to Lord Lyons, Earl Granville, contradicted the inferences drawn by M. Challemel-Lacour from the conversation which he held with his Excellency.

PREVENTION OF CRIME BILL.

On the order for Committee on the Prevention of Crime Bill, Mr. Gladstone intimated that the Government had arrived at the conclusion that in order to have the progress of the measure it might be necessary to have a prolonged sitting to-morrow.

The House then went into Committee on the bill. Mr. Gibson moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "person" and insert the words "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out "business or property," the object of the amendment being to provide compensation to the victims of "Boycotting." The amendment was not accepted.

Mr. T. O'Connor moved an amendment to clause 16 to leave out

Galigrani's Messenger

EVENING EDITION.

Head Office: PARIS, NO. 224, RUE DE RIVOLI.

Branch Office: LONDON, 168, STRAND; NICE, 15, QUAI MASSENA.

No. 20,909.—FOUNDED 1814.

TERMS: PARIS—A single journal, 8 sous a week; 2fr. a fortnight, 5fr.; one month, 10fr.; three months, 30fr.; six months, 60fr.; one year, 120fr.

FRANCE—A single journal, 9 sous; 1 month, 1fr.; 3 months, 3fr.; 6 months, 6fr.; a year, 120fr.

EUROPE, UNITED STATES, & COLONIES—A single journal, 9 sous; 33fr.; 6fr.; 125fr.

INDIA, CHINA, THE COLONIES—21 12s. od.; 43 9s. od.; 2 10s.

TERMS of Advertisements—17s. 6d. or 50 cents a line, according to the number of insertions. None under Three Franks.

BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND MARRIAGES, 5fr. a line, Notices, 3fr. a line. — PARAGRAPHS, 5fr. a line.

SUBSCRIPTIONS can be transmitted direct by a Cheque on London or Paris or by a Post-office Order, to be procured at all the Banks of England and the United States of America; also through the Messengers, Bankers, and Booksellers.

LONDON—Advertisements and Subscriptions received at the Special Office of "Galigrani's Messenger," 168, Strand; also by G. Street, 30, Caversham; Bates, Henry and Co., 4, Old Jewry; Smith and Son, 86, Strand; C. C. Coates, 10, Newgate-street; A. S. Lane, General Post-office; F. L. May and Co., 160, Piccadilly; Delley, Davies and Co., 1, Finch-lane.

NICE—15, Quai Massena.

Great Britain.

LONDON, JULY 3—4, 1882.

THE SITUATION.

England, having plainly declared what is necessary to the security of her own vital interests in Egypt, will neither waver nor hesitate in giving full effect to her policy. It is in an aggressive spirit that we shall act, if we are called upon to act. It may even be admitted that an expedition of English troops for the purpose of intervening in the affairs of a foreign land invites criticism and requires defence. But the main lines of the defence of such a policy have been clearly indicated by the Ministers specially responsible for them, by Mr. Gladstone himself, by Lord Granville and Sir Charles Dilke, and the country has approved them. About the Suez Canal and its relation to the national interests there is practically no dispute. To this primary ground of intervention must be added the pledges repeatedly given to Tewfik, the anarchy which at present prevails in Egypt, and the total destruction of all European interests in the country. It may be argued of course—indeed, it has been argued—that England has nothing to do with the domestic affairs of Egypt, and that if the Egyptians prefer a military despotism tending to anarchy, that is no concern of ours. It is sufficient, perhaps, to reply that there is no sort of evidence to show that the Egyptians prefer anything of the kind. On the contrary, the real interests of Egypt would be far safer under a return to the *status quo*—which is all that England has ever demanded or is likely to enforce—than they have ever been under Arabi and his creatures. It is easy for the present Ministry, that is for Arabi himself, to declare that life and property are safe in Egypt and that the European community has nothing to fear. The European community is undoubtedly the best judge in the matter, and its judgment has been pronounced by its almost universal exodus. Thousands upon thousands of Europeans, Levantines included, men who are half Orientals and accustomed to the ordinary instability of affairs in the East, have sacrificed their all and fled from the country. The few who remain transact the little business that is left, not on Egyptian soil, but from vessels in the harbour of Alexandria. The whole apparatus of civilisation and progress throughout the country is either destroyed or brought to a standstill. Even at the best it will take months, or rather years, to repair the ravages wrought by the last few disastrous weeks. It is as clear as it can be that if Arabi is allowed to prevail, the country must go from bad to worse, and no European interest in it, not even the Canal itself, will be safe. This is the situation with which England has to deal. If she has to deal with it alone, she is prepared to do so. But by her deference to the wishes of France and by her readiness to seek European sanction for her action she has shown that she has no isolated interests to pursue and no aggressive purposes to serve.—*Times*.

it was possible to test them by the laws of evidence they were either refused or assumed a much milder complexion than was originally imparted to them. Lord Chelmsford says that if Cetewayo's Prime Minister were removed from the country Zululand would at once settle down; but who is to undertake the removal of this powerful individual? Lord Chelmsford's policy would unquestionably involve a renewal of the Zulu war, and we feel that the country is by no means so enamoured with either the military achievements or the political results of that war as to be willing to incur the slightest risk of its recurrence. —*Daily News*.

THE CRISIS IN EGYPT.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Times* telegraphed on Monday:—

To-day is the Sultan's *fitre*. Last night all the Turkish ships were illuminated. To-day every ship, except the Spanish, was gaily dressed with flags, and at noon a salute was fired from the different fleets consisting of 92 vessels.

The English ships are 44 in number.

The *Inflexible*, *Alexandra*, *Temeraire*, *Sultan*, *Superb*, *Invincible*, *Monarch*, *Iris*, *Bittern*, *Condor*, *Decoy*, *Beacon*, *Cynget*, and *Hetman*. The French vessels number six, of which three are ironclads; the American three, the Russian two, the Greek two, and the Italian, Austrian, German, Dutch, and Spanish one each. The Khedive is better, and received to-day the new French Consul-General, as well as the German and Austrian representatives.

Speaking to-day to a Turk, from whom I have before quoted, and who, I believe, is extremely well informed as regards Stamboul Palace politics, he said:—"Let me beg you to give this warning, in the most emphatic manner you can employ. Stake your reputation upon its truth, and if you attempted to dispense with it, I will give you a good reason for doing so." The English are anxious to have the support of France and the Great Powers, though they should think that they are particularly anxious to make Egypt another Oriental Roumelia is not apparent.

The *Daily Telegraph* received the following despatch from its correspondent in Egypt:—

SUEZ, MONDAY NIGHT.

I left Port Said on Saturday at midday, Captain Reid, of the Anchor Line steamer *Armenia*, kindly offering to convey me the whole length of the Canal to Suez. Only a small English gunboat, the *Coquette*, is left at Port Said. The inhabitants there are somewhat nervous at the possibility of an attack by the natives on Europeans. I found that a large number of the natives had gone to Damietta, where they were assembling, evidently for the purpose of their ultimate descent on Port Said. The cutting of the telegraph between Ismailia and Port Said is also much dreaded, as in that case there would be great difficulty in obtaining water. Should English troops land, the ships must be prepared to condense every drop that may be required.

I saw no troops on the banks of the Canal, but I observed that the native hordes were prevented from crossing the Canal by the English gunboats.

In addition to those already stationed here, gunboats should be sent to Shalouf and Guilleanne, such vessels carrying artificers to repair quickly any damage that might be done. No stone barges whatever—not even under the pretence of repairing the Canal banks—should be allowed to enter the passage, and a strict watch should be kept on all vessels approaching from the Suez end of the Canal.

M. de Lesseps on the EGYPTIAN QUESTION.

M. de Lesseps received a deputation from the International Arbitration Peace Association on Monday for the purpose of conferring with them on the best means of securing the neutrality of the Panama and Suez Canals. With reference to the Suez Canal, M. de Lesseps deprecated any attempt on the part of England to disembark troops in Egypt, or to make a naval demonstration in the mouth of the Canal on the pretence that the security of the Canal is in danger. He was assured by his son and other officials connected with his administration in Egypt that there was no fear for attack on the Canal by the people of Egypt. He had also received the strongest assurances to that effect in telegrams forwarded to him on the 26th ult. by Raghéb Pacha and the Viceroy of Egypt. He had for many years been in constant communication with Raghéb Pacha with reference to the affairs of the Canal, and had every confidence in his judgment and good faith. What was most to be feared was any military intervention which would alarm the Egyptians. The latter were making efforts to establish in some degree their national independence with Arabi Pacha at the head. Therefore, the English and French nations should be the last to crush their legitimate efforts. He regretted the conduct of these nations in the internal administration of Egypt, which had created great irritation among the whole people. He had found Arabi Pacha to be perfectly reasonable in his views. He had the confidence of his people in his efforts to bring about the independence of Egypt and the Turkish rule. The assembling of Notables was the first step in the direction of independence, and ought to be recognised. Any attack upon Egypt by England or any other Power would be the destruction of the Canal. It was in no other danger whatever, for it was sufficiently protected by the officials of the Canal Company and by the agency of ships. Any naval demonstration at Port Said would probably be fatal, and would ruin English commerce.

Both sides—the Egyptian soldiery and the officers and men under Sir B. Seymour—are regarding each other suspiciously. Both are making preparations for fighting of the most protracted character. The Egyptians are sending the bulk of the Fleet to a pitch of high tension by ostentatiously patrolling on the fortifications. The English were ships in turn, stimulate the anger of the Egyptian soldiers by showing that they, too, are prepared and eager for the fray. The slightest accident or indiscretion on either side will precipitate a sanguinary conflict.

The prevailing opinion I find now is that the Egyptian difficulty cannot be got over by anything but military intervention by England, and it is hoped the Conference will bow to the inevitable and recognise this fact. If that be impossible, it is hoped that Europe will delegate to some combination of the Great Powers—England, probably, in alliance with Italy, if France suks over the burden of the duty of undertaking the temporary occupation of Egypt. Another view here is that the Zulus are prepared to reconquer the Zululand which they had set up again. Lord Kimberley when he says that the welfare of the country imperatively requires that the Zulus should be placed under some stronger authority than that of the thirteen kinglets. The question then arises whether the country shall be annexed to the British possessions in South Africa, or whether the only native ruler whom the Zulus are prepared to recognize shall be set up again. Lord Kimberley states that the Government will come to a conclusion on this subject when they have received Sir Henry Bulwer's report. In the meanwhile, if they decide to restore Cetewayo, it will be a great advantage that they should be in personal communication with him, and that he should have an opportunity of becoming acquainted with the power and resources of England. Lord Carnarvon, in opposing this act of justice, described Cetewayo as a monster of cruelty. Lord Granville, with great force, contrasted Lord Carnarvon's very exaggerated estimate of the bad qualities of the ex-king with the fact that the Zulu nation have clung to him through his long captivity with unaltering devotion. But Lord Carnarvon, who has not hesitated to support a war, the responsibility for which and the leaders of his own party have again and again repudiated, should remember that most of the accusations against Cetewayo which he accepts as true were founded upon mere hearsay, and that whenever

it was possible to test them by the laws of evidence they were either refused or assumed a much milder complexion than was originally imparted to them. Lord Chelmsford says that if Cetewayo's Prime Minister were removed from the country Zululand would at once settle down; but who is to undertake the removal of this powerful individual? Lord Chelmsford's policy would unquestionably involve a renewal of the Zulu war, and we feel that the country is by no means so enamoured with either the military achievements or the political results of that war as to be willing to incur the slightest risk of its recurrence. —*Daily News*.

The question is often asked—What chance has the English army of winning if it has to face a great National Army of fanatics? The answer is that the Egyptian army is numerous rather than formidable. An American officer who has recently been in Egypt tells me that after having them under his eyes for ten years, he is certain that those thousand English soldiers and sailors would scatter the whole Egyptian army like chaff before the wind. He thinks the English and French force now in port could capture Alexandria with the utmost ease.

PARIS, THURSDAY, JULY 6, 1882.

PRICE 40 CENTIMES

IMPERIAL PARLIAMENT.

HOUSE OF LORDS.—MONDAY.

The House met at 4 o'clock, when the Royal Assent was given by Commission to the Customs and Inland Revenue Buildings (Ireland) Act, Poor Rate Assessment and Collection Act, 1869, Amendment Act; Public Schools (Scotland) Teachers Act, Internments (Fedo de Se) Act, and a large number of private Acts. The Commissioners were the Lord Chancellor, Lord Monson, and Lord Carri-

ington. Lord Cadogan condemned the proposal to bring Cetewayo on a visit to England. Lord Kimberley maintained that as the Zulu war was not yet over, it would be difficult to refuse Cetewayo's request, and that the most desirable would be to make him a prisoner of the West African provinces.

Dervish's attempt to get the Ministers to let Turkish troops land as the baillifs of the Western Powers has failed, and that the Sultan should become acquainted with the power and resources of England. He added that when the Government had received a report from Sir H. Bulwer, they would come to some definite conclusion with regard to the changes to be made in Zululand. Lord Carnarvon did not see how Cetewayo could be restored to his former position without a gross breach of faith towards the chiefs who were invested with authority under Sir G. Wolsey's settlement. Lord Granville admitted the difficulties of the position, and Lord Chelmsford urged that if Cetewayo were replaced he would again resort to his oppressive military system.

Lord Cadogan condemned the proposal to bring Cetewayo on a visit to England. Lord Kimberley maintained that as the Zulu war was not yet over, it would be difficult to refuse Cetewayo's request, and that the most desirable would be to make him a prisoner of the West African provinces.

A short discussion followed, in the course of which Mr. O'Connor Power passed some strictures upon the Government for not making their present demand a timely one, and trusted that urgency would be applied to the Arrears Bill as well as to their coercive legislation.

Mr. Baillie moved an amendment for dispensing with the necessity of a three-fourths majority in order to create a state of urgency. It would, he thought, be very difficult for the Government to obtain urgency on that condition in favour of the Arrears Bill.

Sir S. Northcote, while ready to support the motion of the Prime Minister, expressed a hope that care would be taken to preserve to the House due facilities for the discussion of any important questions of foreign or domestic policy which might arise.

A short discussion followed, in the course of which Mr. O'Connor Power passed some strictures upon the Government for not making their present demand a timely one, and trusted that urgency would be applied to the Arrears Bill as well as to their coercive legislation.

Mr. Baillie moved an amendment for dispensing with the necessity of a three-fourths majority in order to create a state of urgency. It would, he thought, be very difficult for the Government to obtain urgency on that condition in favour of the Arrears Bill.

Sir S. Northcote, while ready to support the motion of the Prime Minister, expressed a hope that care would be taken to preserve to the House due facilities for the discussion of any important questions of foreign or domestic policy which might arise.

The amendment was rejected by 181 to 41.

The discussion was renewed on an amendment by Lord Parnell for confining urgency to the Crimes Bill, which was negatived, and Mr. Gladstone's original resolution was carried by 259 to 31.

The second resolution (declaring the state of public business urgent) was carried by 181 to 31.

The House then went into Committee on the Crimes Prevention Bill, Sir E. Herschell in the Chair, and on the Attorney-General for Ireland moving a new clause, in substitution for Clause 19, relating to summary procedure, Mr. R. Power moved that progress be reported, on the ground that the suspended Irish members had had no opportunity of putting down amendments. After some discussion, in which Mr. Parnell, Mr. T. P. O'Connor, and Mr. Healy took part, the motion was rejected by 269 to 26. This was immediately followed by a motion from Mr. Healy that the Chairman leave the Chair. After some conversation Sir W. Harcourt offered to put on the new clauses till the report which he proposed in that case should be taken. This was done, and the Chairman, expecting to have the bill passed a third time, was disappointed in not being accepted by the Irish members. The motion that the Chairman leave the Chair was passed to a division, and thrown out by 184 to 22. Mr. Metcalf immediately renewed the motion to report progress, against the advice of Mr. Parnell. Mr. Gladstone gave way, and the further consideration of the bill was adjourned.

The other orders of the day were disposed of, and the House was counted out at 25 minutes to 2 o'clock.

COURT AND FASHIONABLE NEWS.

WINDSOR CASTLE, JULY 3.

The Queen held an audience to-day at Windsor Castle. Her Majesty was accompanied by her Royal Highnesses Princess Beatrice, their Royal Highnesses the Duke and Duchess of Albany, and their Grand Ducal Highnesses the Princesses Elizabeth and Irene of Hesse, proceeded to the White Drawing Room at 3 o'clock. Sir Albert Woods, Garter, presented to her Majesty the various insignia in the following order:

ORDER OF THE BATH.

General Sir Charles Henry Eliot was introduced into the presence of the Sovereign by the Lord Chamberlain, attended by the Registrar and Secretary to the Order, carrying the insignia, when the Queen, assisted by Prince Leopold, Duke of Albany, R.G., invested him with the Ribbon and Badge of the Order. The ribbon was of the First Class, by placing the Royal Cypher on the left side, and the Order on the right. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. O'Donnell, who had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. O'Donnell, who had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then left the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen then returned to the room, and the Order was passed to the next member of the Order, Mr. Healy, who was so anxious to receive it that he had not waited to hear the Queen's name. The Queen

Galignani's Messenger.

EVENING EDITION.

Head Office:—PARIS, NO. 224, RUE DE RIVOLI.

Branch Offices:—LONDON, 168, STRAND; NICE, 15, QUAI MASSENA.

No. 20,923.—FOUNDED 1814.

PRICE 40 CENTIMES

PARIS, SATURDAY, JULY 22, 1882.

NOTICE.

A Four-page Supplement is published with this day's number of the MESSENGER, and will be delivered gratis with each copy of the paper. It contains our American news and an interesting variety of literary extracts.

Great Britain.
LONDON, JULY 19—20, 1882.

ENGLAND'S ACTION IN THE EAST.

For the last few days the solution of the Egyptian question has seemed to depend on the answer to be given by the Porte to the invitation addressed to it by the Conference. The Sultan has been formally requested by the Powers of Europe to exercise his sovereign rights for the restoration of order in Egypt. It was necessary that this proposition should be duly made in reference to the acknowledged relations subsisting between Turkey and Egypt. All the Powers of Europe have interests in Egypt which cannot be permanently sacrificed, and though the interests of England and France are by common consent superior both in kind and degree, yet the two Western Powers have frankly deferred to the authority of the European Concert and submitted the whole question to a Conference. The Conference, on its part, has agreed to invite the intervention of the sovereign Power. But, whatever expectations may at one time have been entertained of the success or feasibility of this mode of proceeding—a mode in which France has only acquiesced with reluctance—such expectations are now very materially abated. It will be seen by our correspondence from Constantinople and elsewhere that the Porte is still inclined to a policy of inaction and expectancy. Events, however, do not wait in the meanwhile. The present condition of Egypt is such as to render indefinite delay disastrous, and very possibly fatal. The invitation to the Porte has been given, and there has been abundant time for an answer to be received. As no answer had been given up to last night, the English Government holds that it would be reprehensible to wait any longer. The hesitation of the Porte at a moment when hesitation was fatal has forced it to the conclusion that the invitation of the Conference has been virtually declined, and that nothing remains for it but to carry out by its own efforts the measures which are absolutely necessary for the restoration of order and the public peace in Egypt. There will accordingly be no further delay in carrying out the preparations for military action in Egypt, and definite orders will to-day be issued for the equipment and despatch of an expeditionary force for the purpose of doing the work which the Porte is held to have declined to undertake. The vote of the French Assembly, sanctioning the credit asked for by the Government by a majority of 340 to 66, leaves no doubt of the readiness of France to co-operate with England in Egypt. There seems, moreover, little reason to doubt that the sanction of the Conference will be given to the two Western Powers. The Conference has all along recognized the necessity of taking measures for the restoration of order in Egypt; that, indeed, is its *raison d'être*, and the non-compliance of the Porte must almost force it to accept the only possible alternative. Indeed, it would appear that the Conference has already agreed in principle upon the expediency of intrusting the protection of the Suez Canal to England and France, possibly in conjunction with some other Power; and though the two questions are distinct and might be differently viewed by some of the Powers concerned, it is probable that, in view of the Sultan's hesitation, the mandate would be extended so as to cover the whole Egyptian question. In any case, however, the English Government has now resolved that the necessary work must be undertaken, and undertaken at once. It cannot be said that England has acted with precipitation in the matter, or without due consideration for the very natural susceptibilities whether of the Porte or of other Powers. We have, indeed, pressed the appeal to the Sovereignty of the Sultan in opposition to the views of France, and though the appeal has failed, we have nothing to regret in having made it. Whatever may happen, we have no desire whatever to travel outside or beyond the conditions we have all along laid down as essential to any permanent settlement of the Egyptian question. Precisely as if the Porte had taken the matter into its own hands, we shall aim at nothing more nor less than the re-establishment of the *status quo* in Egypt as regards its relations to the Sultan in accordance with existing firms, the restoration of the authority of the Khe-dive in the internal government of the country, the fulfilment of international engagements, and the prudent and progressive development of Egyptian institutions. But there is no doubt that the Power or Powers which re-establish order in Egypt and bear the burden and heat of such a day's work will claim a more potent voice than heretofore in the settlement of such questions as already await solution or may arise in the course of the undertaking. The Sultan and the Porte have been repeatedly urged by England and the other Powers to take steps for the suppression of anarchy in Egypt. The Sultan and the Porte first ignored the existence of anarchy, and then practically declined to interfere. The difficulties in which the Sultan finds himself are very clearly explained by our correspondent at Constantinople, and it is plain enough that they are by no means unreal or insignificant. But if the difficulties of the Sultan preclude his effectual intervention in Egypt, and if England and France have to undertake the work, they will do it on their own terms, though they will not swerve from the spirit of the conditions which they have throughout recognised as essential to the real welfare of Egypt. England is thus definitely involved in what not but be regarded as a very serious, albeit a necessary, undertaking. It is understood that we shall cordially accept the co-operation of other European Powers. But, in any case, the work will be undertaken, and we shall not flinch until it is accomplished. Such is the resolve of the Government, and its action in this respect will, probably, not be immediately or directly challenged by the Opposition. But the speeches made on Tuesday by Lord Carnarvon and Sir Richard Cross are a proof that the Opposition has not a little to say on the whole question, and we suppose that the traditions of party warfare would not be duly outlawed.

satisfied if the policy of the Government were not formally passed in review and submitted to the inevitable censure. It is probable that a vote of censure will be moved condemning the Government for its dilatory action after the disturbances of the 11th of June, for its want of foresight and preparation when the bombardment of the 11th of July was resolved upon, and for the consequent destruction of life and property in Alexandria. This is we will not and cannot say as it should be, but rather as it must be. An Opposition exists in order to oppose, and no Opposition, perhaps, could afford to neglect such an opportunity of delivering an attack in due form and force as is afforded by the course of events in Egypt and the action of the Government in regard to them. The tactics are old-fashioned, perhaps, but they are quite *en règle*. It is not in Parliamentary or in party human nature to permit them. An evening at least, and very likely two or more, of the brief and busy time which still remains to the House of Commons before the recess must suffice to give an opportunity of discussing the whole Egyptian question. The Opposition will propose to censure the Government for what it has done, for what it has neglected to do, possibly even by implication for what is about to do. In the meantime, the country will recognize that, however inevitable the discussion may be, it will not vitally affect the Egyptian question as it stands at present, and with its eyes intently fixed on events as they occur in Egypt, it will follow the debate with some impatience and not a little indifference. Its real attention will be exclusively devoted to the work now to be undertaken by England and probably by France, after much hesitation, and after a delay which, however inevitable it was in good order, and the stock was kept nearly as methodically as in an English arsenal or man-of-war. The neighbourhood of the forts is quite deserted, with the exception of a few people, owners of windmills. We did not see a soul dead. They were reported to have been removed the same night, but saw plenty of living. Living Arabs never would have left these. There were fragments of burnt clothing, as if the men had torn them off in pieces.

Yesterday Dervish Pacha sent many messages to Constantinople, and received many from there. In the middle of the night one arrived recalling him and all his suite. Steam was got up on board the yacht *Izodin*, and at 6 a.m. he was at seven in the morning. Soon after it is believed other messages came, as efforts were made to stop the yacht, but they were unsuccessful.

A report was received that if Turkey hesitates any longer to send troops France is anxious to join England, with or without a third Power, in active interference.

Arabi still remains, it is said, at Kafir Darawar and has the command of a thousand men, and orders the Madias to pay taxes to him for the service of the war. The Khe-dive's Ministers are afraid or unwilling to outlaw him officially, although he is still using the Khe-dive's name as if still a Minister.

Mr. Cornish, of the Alexandria Water Company, has been requested by the general to empty, cleanse, and refill the old Roman wells all over the town, the water supply being completely at Arabi's mercy. Labour is very scarce, and probably three weeks will be required for the work.

The Constantinople correspondent of the same journal says—

Previous to the burning of Alexandria the Sultan was gradually coming round to the general view of his Council, that the best sequel to the bombardment would be frankly to join England in restoring the *status quo ante* in Egypt, but the news of the partial destruction provoked a violent revulsion of opinion. Abdul Hamid believes that even if the English shells did not actually fire the town it was the second attack of Admiral Seymour which directly caused the excesses of the soldiery and the released convicts. Hence his opposition to the English policy continues strong. He is opposed to sending troops to Egypt, and has even subdued his anger against Germany, and accepted the explanations furnished by Count Hirschfeld of Germany's non-intervention. The moderate party in the Ottoman Government bitterly resents this hostility, which may lead to a civil war in Egypt. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

THE STATE OF EGYPT.

RECALL OF DERVISH PACHA.

HOSTILITY OF THE SULTAN TO ENGLAND.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph* on Wednesday says—

I have just returned from visiting the forts of Omuk, Rubeb, Tabia, Elsura, and Babel-mex, which were bombarded on the 11th by the inshore squadron—the *Invincible*, *Monarch*, and *Penelope*—and later in the day by four gunboats. After seeing these forts one is amazed at the destruction accomplished, which is not visible from the sea, and at the bravery of our gunners in getting into their garrisons so long. The number and variety of their guns are surprising, and the stock of projectiles and ammunition is immense. If they had had more men, well-commanded, the fleet would have had a very warm reception. In one fort we counted several 18-ton guns, ten-inch Armstrongs; in another, four-inch and one-inch Armstrongs; in another, two 15-inch smooth-bore, besides 40-pounder Armstrongs and any number of old 32-pouders. On the 11th one small battery gave the ships a deal of trouble, it being difficult to see because of the sun, but it was effectively silenced at last. The men clashed and tarhishes were scattered in all directions. At Babel-mex some Armstrongs were knocked down, others were hit up with muzzle in the air, and embedded in one gun were found shots from a Gatling. Outside the forts there were many nine-inch and ten-inch Armstrongs that had never been mounted. The barracks and magazines are very strongly-built, and stored of gunpowder, fuses, and projectiles of every kind. In one building we found 300 five-hundred-pound gun mines; and in three stores, two of which were sealed up, were 200 smaller torpedoes, different from anything else under Arab management. Everything was in good order, and the stock was kept nearly as methodically as in an English arsenal or man-of-war. The neighbourhood of the forts is quite deserted, with the exception of a few people, owners of windmills. We did not see a soul dead. They were reported to have been removed the same night, but saw plenty of living. Living Arabs never would have left these. There were fragments of burnt clothing, as if the men had torn them off in pieces.

Yesterday Dervish Pacha sent many messages to Constantinople, and received many from there. In the middle of the night one arrived recalling him and all his suite. Steam was got up on board the yacht *Izodin*, and at 6 a.m. he was at seven in the morning. Soon after it is believed other messages came, as efforts were made to stop the yacht, but they were unsuccessful.

A report was received that if Turkey hesitates any longer to send troops France is anxious to join England, with or without a third Power, in active interference.

Arabi still remains, it is said, at Kafir Darawar and has the command of a thousand men, and orders the Madias to pay taxes to him for the service of the war. The Khe-dive's Ministers are afraid or unwilling to outlaw him officially, although he is still using the Khe-dive's name as if still a Minister.

Mr. Cornish, of the Alexandria Water Company, has been requested by the general to empty, cleanse, and refill the old Roman wells all over the town, the water supply being completely at Arabi's mercy. Labour is very scarce, and probably three weeks will be required for the work.

The Constantinople correspondent of the same journal says—

Previous to the burning of Alexandria the Sultan was gradually coming round to the general view of his Council, that the best sequel to the bombardment would be frankly to join England in restoring the *status quo ante* in Egypt, but the news of the partial destruction provoked a violent revulsion of opinion. Abdul Hamid believes that even if the English shells did not actually fire the town it was the second attack of Admiral Seymour which directly caused the excesses of the soldiery and the released convicts. Hence his opposition to the English policy continues strong. He is opposed to sending troops to Egypt, and has even subdued his anger against Germany, and accepted the explanations furnished by Count Hirschfeld of Germany's non-intervention. The moderate party in the Ottoman Government bitterly resents this hostility, which may lead to a civil war in Egypt. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness and obstinacy to make a parade in the nineteenth century of such acts of oppression and brutality, and has made such haste to mark with a stain the history of England that we may well sympathize with the position of the Mahomedan here. They have to hate that Mr. Gladstone has sworn against us. He has sufficed to sow misunderstanding and discord between two great nations on one hand, and on the other to deliver over Egypt to fire and sword. The *Vakil* does not believe the Khe-dive has asked any assistance from Admiral Seymour, and adds that, although order reigns in Cairo, a sentiment of aversion exists, owing to the devastation committed by England.

DERVISH PACHA AND ARABI.

EXCITEMENT IN CAIRO.

The Alexandria correspondent of the *Daily Telegraph*, in a message dated Wednesday evening, says—Two more English regiments are expected immediately. It is possible that the *Orontes* came here empty by some mistake. I hear from good Egyptian sources that Arabi proposes to kill all the Turks in Egypt, and says that are no more than 10,000 here. They are to be killed in the streets. The intentions of Said Pacha, the First Minister, are not altogether clear; but said thoroughly understands the position, and may simply be working in his own way to overcome a resistance which is full of peril to Turkey.

The *Vakil* contains the following:—The promptitude that ought to be used in restoring order should at least equal that of Admiral Seymour in the bombardment of Alexandria, which has caused the loss of so many innocent lives and the ruin of a prosperity acquired by the labour of centuries. Admiral Seymour only consulted his own puerile eagerness